

ROC GC Log Addenda – 3/9/23

1.) D4/S4.10 shows the hoist beam over the mezzanine floor opening and refers you to the plan for the requirements. This beam is not sized on sheet S2.01b. Please provide.

R: **The hoist beam is shown on Sheet S2.095b; S8x23.**

2.) On sheet E0.01, the ductbank between HH02 and FB19 switches from D1 (directionally bored) to D2 (concrete encased) under the existing drive and back to D1 (directionally bored). Is this correct? Should the section under the drive be directionally bored?.

R: **Yes directionally bore this entire section.**

3.) On sheet E0.01, please confirm if the ductbank between HH04 and FPB13 is tagged correctly as a new D1 line. It has a lighter line weight like the other existing ductbank lines.

R: **The lighter line running south is the edge of the roadway. The communication line runs from HH04 to FB11**

4.) On sheet E0.01, please confirm if FPB-10 & FPB-13 are new or existing.

FPBXX is not included in the legend on the same sheet like the rest of the designated hand holes.

R: **FPB-10 and FPB-13 are existing.**

5.) There are at least two walls found where the architectural drawings are calling for metal stud walls and the structural drawings are calling for CMU. Two examples of this are the wall along column line B from column 1 to 7 and the wall along column line L from columns 2 to 6. On architectural sheets A2.01a and A2.01b the wall types called out are GA12, but on structural sheets S2.01a and S2.01b they are tagged with masonry shear wall designation MSW2. How should this be bid?

R: **This is addressed in Addendum #5; structural walls shown on S Drawings take precedence.**

6.) There are numerous areas where the CMU wall thickness called out on the architectural plans differs from what is shown on the structural plans. One example of this is the west wall of Server Room 01319. This wall is tagged MB4 (10") on A2.01a, but is shown as 8" on S2.00a. Another example is the wall immediately to the west which is tagged MB5 (12") on A2.01a, but is shown as 1'-2" on S2.00a. **Note that this wall is a 12" CMU wall on a 1'-2" footing.** In situations like this, which takes precedence, the architectural or structural plans.

R: **This is addressed in Addendum #5; structural walls shown on S Drawings take precedence.**

7.) Spec section 098430—Acoustical Panels and the interior finish schedule on sheet A4.101 both identify AWP-1 as an acoustical wall panel to be installed. There is no reference to AWP-1 being installed on either of the finish schedules or any of the elevations. Please advise where this is required.

R: **This was addressed in Addendum #4.**

8.) The response to question #26 in the "Questions and Responses as of 3/8/23" file uploaded to the

website appears to be related to the wall dimensions between the architectural and structural drawings matching. This was not the issue in the question posted. The issue was that the structural drawings show masonry walls and the architectural drawings show metal stud walls. It was this discrepancy that would have a significant cost impact.

R: **This is addressed in Addendum #5; structural walls shown on S Drawings take precedence.**